Kristi,

I think going with a new column for original result would be fine.

Joe Schaefer
US EPA/Environmental Response Team
(732)906-6920 (office)
(609)865-8111 (cell)

-----"Warr (Kettler), Kristie" <K.Warr@WestonSolutions.com> wrote: -----

> To: Joe Schaefer/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
> From: "Warr (Kettler), Kristie" <K.Warr@WestonSolutions.com>
> Date: 07/14/2011 02:10PM
> Cc: ERTSupport@EPA, Eric Delgado/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, "Morgan, Bradley"
> <Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com>
> Subject: Las Conchas Wildfire Scribe Database
>
>
> Hi Joe,
>
>
> I am almost ready to publish a final radiological dataset. We have already added one column to the
> lab results table – "Uncertainty". I think I may need to add one more column.
>
>
> The START CHPs have been working with NMED to make sure they get the data they are looking
> for from this response. Based on the NMED needs, START will need to adjust the result values (in
> some instances) from what is originally reported by the lab. I'm thinking I will use the "Result"
> column for the final validated result value, but I would like to maintain the original result from the lab.
> Can we add another column "Original Lab Result" or do you prefer that we utilize a column that is not
> being used?
>
>
> I don't want to break any process between me publishing the data to NMED subscribing to
> Scribe.Net.
>
>
> Let me know what you think. Thanks!!
>
>
> **Kristie (Kettler) Warr**
>
> Weston Solutions, Inc.
>
> 5599 San Felipe, Ste 700

9471072

Houston, TX 77056

E-mail: k.warr@westonsolutions.com

Phone: 713.985.6636

Fax: 713.985.6703

Cell: 832.444.7976